

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF LOUISIANA
LAFAYETTE DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

CASE NO. 6:21-CR-00312-01

VERSUS

JUDGE ROBERT R. SUMMERHAYS

KRISTAL GLOVER-WING (01)

MAGISTRATE JUDGE DAVID J. AYO

ORDER

Before the Court are three *pro se* filings by Defendant Kristal Glover-Wing: (1) Motion for Bail Pending Direct Appeal [ECF No. 241], (2) Motion to Reduce Restitution from \$3.6 Million to \$0 [ECF No. 242], and (3) “Omnibus Motion Rule 60(b) Seek Relief from Final Judgment for Extraordinary Circumstances and Motion for Batson Reconstruction Hearing” [ECF No. 243]. The forgoing motions are hereby **STRICKEN** from the record in this case.

Defendant is represented by counsel both in this Court and in the appellate court. “When a defendant is represented by counsel, he or she does not have the right to file *pro se* motions.”¹ Therefore, Defendant is **DIRECTED** to convey any and all concerns in her case to her retained counsel, Katryna Spearman.”²

THUS DONE in Chambers on this 14th day of August, 2024.



ROBERT R. SUMMERHAYS
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

¹ *United States v. Jones*, 842 Fed.Appx. 878, 883 (5th Cir. 2021); *see also United States v. Daniels*, 572 F.2d 535, 540 (5th Cir. 1978) (citing 28 U.S.C. § 1654); *United States v. Sanders*, 843 F.3d 1050, 1053-54 (5th Cir. 2016); *United States v. Presley*, 415 Fed.Appx. 563, 570 (5th Cir. 2011) (denying *pro se* motion for release pending appeal because hybrid representation is not permitted and therefore the motion was “unauthorized”).

² The Court additionally notes Defendant’s counsel has already filed a Motion for Release from Custody Pending Appeal [ECF No. 239] which remains pending at this time.